
Kessler, Ellen

From: Lilley, Bliss
Sent: Friday, July 24, 2009 10:11 AM
To: Collins, Carly
Subject: FW: CapX2020
Attachments: shea.pdf

From: Schultz, Dawn R [mailto:dawn.schultz@xcelenergy.com]
Sent: Friday, July 24, 2009 7:51 AM
To: bshea1956@yahoo.com
Cc: stephanie.strength@usda.gov; Lilley, Bliss
Subject: CapX2020

Dear Mr. Shea,

Thank you for providing your feedback and suggestions regarding the routing of the CapX2020 Hampton - Rochester - La Crosse line. Your comments are being provided via this e-mail to the USDA Rural Utilities Service (Stephanie Strength) for inclusion in their federal Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

We do have 3908 Dawn Haven Court NE in our database, but under a different name. I'm wondering if there was a change of ownership since our mailing lists were first compiled. I apologize that you hadn't been getting the mailings. You are now entered into our database for future correspondence. Please do encourage your neighbors to contact us with their information, and we will gladly add them as well. They can provide comments to us at any time, however for comments to be included in the federal EIS, they must be received by tomorrow, July 25. Comments can also be sent directly to Stephanie Strength; her e-mail is included and her phone number is 202-720-0468.

Please let me know if there's anything else I can help you with.

Dawn Schultz
Technician, Siting & Land Rights - North
Xcel Energy
CapX2020
800-238-7968

William Shea 507-288/5690 bshea1956@yahoo.com
3908 Dawn Haven Court Ne
Rochester MN 55906

Key Issues: That there re better alternatives. There are two existing high power lines with right of way both going north from Co Road 9 (Olmsted). The one routed north and south on Co Road 11 is the worst route to take because of the higher density of residential housing. But then is altered to not only cut through a recreational and wildlife sanctuary but also near more residential housing. The other would be north on 50th Ave impacting much less residential housing of which have chose to build near the existing high powerlines recently. From the supplied map with Red (proposed existing) and Blue (proposed alternates), it appears the blue route just west of the 50th ave Red route is the best. It impacts very little residential housing and less farmland. Ppportunity: 300 yards to the east on Dawn Haven Ct NE. We moved here for the aesthetic value and

I-218-001

I-218-001

Your comment has been noted. The criteria used to route the transmission line is described in the Macro Corridor Study which is available on the RUS website at: <http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/eis.htm>. These criteria and routing process will be addressed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The project is still in the development and planning stages and the utilities have not yet permitted a route for the transmission line.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be available on the RUS website at: <http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/eis.htm>. Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be solicited after its publication.

I-218-003

have 2 neighbors who co-run the land for farming crops. Opinion: The proposed Co 11 north goes right by residential housing, then turns east into recreational land near Silver Creek reservoir. It then turns north again through prime wildlife management land and then prime farmland to the north. It makes little sense to disturb this when a blue proposed line west of 50th Ave or the 50th Avenue route is a far better alternative with much less impact. If it cost more to build this route, maybe the buyer who receives the electricity should bear the cost. It is obviously not for us. But then we have no idea who is getting the energy. Additional information needed: All of it. A land owner north of us brought it to our attention 14 days after the original deadline. Coincidentally 2 neighbors of ours on Dawn Haven Court Nc who are heirs to the farmland directly to the west and impacted by this project knew nothing about this either. 7/23/2009 8:05:00 PM

I-218-002

Your comment has been noted. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement will describe, in detail, project purpose and need. The justification document which has been accepted by the RUS is the Alternative Evaluation study which is available at: <http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/eis.htm>.

I-218-003

The project is still in the development and planning stages and the utilities are striving to provide the most up to date information in a timely manner. Project information is updated regularly on the project website, www.capx2020.com.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be available on the RUS website at: <http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/eis.htm>. Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be solicited after its publication.

From the supplied map with Red (proposed existing) and blue (proposed alternates), it appears the blue route just west of the 50th Av. Red route is the best. It impacts very little residential housing and less farmland.

If you own property in one of the proposed corridors, please indicate all the existing uses of your property below:

- ☐ Agriculture ☒ Residential ☐ Conservation Easement
☐ Commercial ☐ Industrial ☐ Other: _____

Please describe any special uses or circumstances on your property that should be considered when assessing the Project. Please indicate the location of your property.

300 yds. to the east on Dawn Haven Ct. We moved here for the aesthetic value and have 2 neighbors who co-run the land for farming crops.

In your opinion, what are the most sensitive resources (biological, cultural, recreational, ect.) in the Project area and why?

The proposed Cor. 11 north goes right by residential housing, then turns east into recreational land near Silver Creek reservoir. It then turns north again through prime wildlife management land and then prime farmland to the north. It makes little sense to disturb this when a blue proposed line west of 50th Av. (or 50th Av.) is a far better alternative with much less impact. If it cost more, maybe the buyer who receives the electricity should bear the cost. It is obviously not for us. But then we have no idea who is getting it!